UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/812,901	03/31/2004	Paul Tucker 16	113-339001/GP-221-00-US	4995	
26192 FISH & RICHA	7590 11/16/200 ARDSON P.C.		EXAMINER		
PO BOX 1022			BELL, CORY C		
MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55440-1022			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
		·	2164		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			11/16/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

*	·			$-\Box$			
	Applic	cation No.	Applicant(s)	$\alpha$			
		2,901	TUCKER ET AL.				
Office Action Summar	<b>Y</b> Exam	iner	Art Unit				
	Cory C		12164				
The MAILING DATE of this con Period for Reply	nmunication appears on	the cover sheet v	ith the correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM TI  - Extensions of time may be available under the pro- after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of thi  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maxin  - Fa:lure to reply within the set or extended period for Any reply received by the Office later than three meanned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.70	HE MAILING DATE OF visions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In n s communication. num statutory period will apply a or reply will, by statute, cause the onths after the mailing date of the	THIS COMMUN no event, however, may a nd will expire SIX (6) MC e application to become A	ICATION. reply be timely filed  NTHS from the mailing date of this communic BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(	s) filed on 30 August 2	<u>007</u> .					
2a) This action is <b>FINAL</b> .	2b) This action						
,	<del>' -</del>						
Disposition of Claims							
4) Claim(s) 1-32 is/are pending in 4a) Of the above claim(s)  5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.  7) Claim(s) is/are objected.  8) Claim(s) 31-32 are subject to re-	_ is/are withdrawn from to.						
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to	•	or h)□ objected to	by the Everniner				
10) The drawing(s) filed on is  Applicant may not request that any							
**	_		g(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.1	21(d).			
11) The oath or declaration is object							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a calcal and All b) Some color None  1. Certified copies of the process of the process of the process of the certified color application from the Interest color attached detailed Office	of: iority documents have iority documents have opies of the priority documents rnational Bureau (PCT	been received. been received in uments have bee Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No  n received in this National Stage	Э			
			VI				
Attachment(s)			SAM RIMELL PRIMARY EXAMIN	IFR			
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)					
<ul> <li>2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Rev</li> <li>3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/S Paper No(s)/Mail Date</li> </ul>		· ·	n(s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application				

## DETAILED ACTION

## Election/Restrictions

This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species:

I. Finding preferred rewrite based on frequency. Figure 5A. Para 29. As claimed in claim 31.

II. Finding preferred rewrite based on machine learning. Para 34. As claimed in claim 32

The species are independent or distinct because they provide two distinct methods of finding a preferred rewrite, which are not disclosed as being usable together, and are disclosed as being separate embodiments. As such, this would cause an undue burden on the examiner, as examination of both species would result in separate divergent searches as well as divergent prosecution.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, Claim 1 is generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which depend from or otherwise require all the limitations of an allowable generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Application/Control Number: 10/812,901 Page 3

Art Unit: 2164

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103(a) of the other invention.

SAM RIMELL
PRIMARY EXAMINER